

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,169	01/12/2002	William P. Apps	2046	4362
33171	7590 10/27/2003		EXAMINER	
KONSTANTINE J. DIAMOND 4010 E. 26TH STREET			MEREK, JOSEPH C	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/047,169	APPS ET AL.	
navious Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Joseph C. Merek	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applice (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the chan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 insion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. I 36(a) and the appropriat fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) $igotimes$ they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	· · ·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v	· · · · —	,	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S :		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	h	u u e z	

LEEYOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/047,169



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment proposes to cancel claim 8 and add its limitations to claim 1. Claim 8 previously required the structure of claim 7 since it depended from claim 7. This is a new issue.